



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION

**CONTEMPT PETITION (CD) NO. 51 OF 2025**  
**IN**  
**COMMERCIAL IP SUIT (L) NO. 22950 OF 2025**

Phonographic Performance Limited

...Petitioner/  
Plaintiff

**Versus**

Effingut Breweries Private Limited And Ors.

...Defendants

---

*Mr. Amogh Singh a/w Mr. Asmant Nimbalkar, Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Mr. Neeraj Nawar, Mr. Hiten Wasan i/b Mr. D. P. Singh, for the Petitioner/Plaintiff.*

*Mr. Abhiraj Parab a/w Ms. Samiksha Malekar, for the Defendants.*

---

**CORAM : SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.**

**DATE : February 02, 2026**

**P. C. :**

1. This Court is informed that the Respondent No. 4 is appearing through video conferencing. On the last occasion, the Respondent No. 4 was present personally in Court and had given an undertaking to the Court that the Plaintiff's copyrighted songs will not be broadcasted without securing a license from the Plaintiff. The Respondents were hence permitted to appear through video conferencing.

2. Mr. Singh, learned counsel for the Plaintiff submits that no

license has been procured and arbitrary sum of Rs. 4,00,000/- was transferred without the consent of the Plaintiff in the garb of license fee which was unacceptable and amount has been duly returned. He would further submit that despite the undertaking, the Respondents are blatantly broadcasting the Plaintiff's copyrighted songs and tenders the additional affidavit stating that on 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2026, when the representative visited the Respondent's premises, the Plaintiff's songs were being unauthorisedly broadcasted.

**3.** Despite the message being sent to the Respondent No. 4 who claims to have joined through video conferencing, his video is off, and therefore, it is difficult to ascertain whether he is present online. By the order of 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2026, the Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 were permitted to appear through video conferencing. It appears that the Respondents though permitted to appear through video conferencing have failed to appear.

**4.** In view thereof, issue bailable warrants against Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- each returnable on **24<sup>th</sup> February, 2026**. The Respondents are directed to remain present personally in Court on the next date failing which, this Court will be constrained to issue non-bailable warrants.

**[SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.]**